

Validation of a Suicide Risk Screening Tool for Children and Youth with Autism and Other Neurodevelopmental Conditions

P. H. Lipkin^{1,2}, M. R. Ngur¹, S. Rybczynski^{3,4}, B. Schindel^{1,2}, C. Lopez-Arvizu^{1,2}, J. Wynn⁵, K. Tabern⁵, C. Giammarco⁵, E. Moubray⁵, A. M. Mournet⁶, P. C. Ryan⁷, N. J. Lowry⁸, J. P. He⁹, A. Thurm¹⁰ and L. M. Horowitz¹¹

(1)Kennedy Krieger Institute, Baltimore, MD, (2)Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD, (3)Dolly Parton Children's Hospital, Knoxville, TN, (4)University of Tennessee Health Sciences Center College of Medicine, Knoxville, TN, (5)Center for Autism Spectrum Disorders, Nationwide Children's Hospital, Columbus, OH, (6)Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey, Piscataway, NJ, (7)University of Connecticut School of Medicine, Farmington, CT, (8)Counseling and Clinical Psychology, Teachers College, Columbia University, New York, NY, (9)Genetic Epidemiology Research Branch, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD, (10)Boston Children's Hospital, Boston, MA, (11)Children's Hospital of Colorado, University of Colorado School of Medicine, Aurora, CO



Background

Youth with autism and other neurodevelopmental diagnoses (NDD; e.g., ADHD, intellectual disability) are at elevated risk for suicide. While universal suicide risk screening is being implemented in a growing number of settings, including those that serve youth with NDD (Rybczynski et al., 2024), none of the current pediatric suicide risk screening tools have been validated in youth with NDD.

Objectives

1. Examine the validity of Ask Suicide-Screening Questions (ASQ) in youth with NDD in outpatient healthcare settings to identify suicide risk.
2. To compare candidate questions with simpler language to the standard ASQ questions to address potential barriers due to language

Methods

Youth aged 8-17 years and parent/guardian recruited from two centers for children with NDD.

- One site with four outpatient clinics caring for children with learning disorders, autism, cerebral palsy, and psychiatric concerns.
- Other site an autism center in a large urban children's hospital.
- Eligibility criteria
 - Verbal fluency in English
 - Ability of the youth to assent.

Youth and parent/guardian participated via video visit (due to COVID pandemic) answering questions verbally and encouraged to participate separately.

- The Ask Suicide-Screening Questions (ASQ); <https://www.nimh.nih.gov/ASQ>.
- Additional candidate screening items with simplified language
- Clinician risk assessment using the ASQ Brief Suicide Safety Assessment (BSSA); <https://www.nimh.nih.gov/ASQ> as the criterion standard completed by physician or psychologist blinded to the ASQ screening results.
- All measures administered verbally with visual representation of answers to non-open-ended questions if needed (i.e. "yes", "no").
- Parent notified if youth screen indicated risk.

Analysis

- Descriptive statistics were used for sample characteristics.
- Psychometric properties including sensitivity (SE), specificity, positive predictive value, negative predictive value (NPV), positive likelihood ratio, negative likelihood ratio, and the area under the receiver operating curve (AUC, summarizing overall diagnostic accuracy) assessed.
- Analyses were conducted focusing on child self-report alone and combined report of child and parent/guardian using an "either/or" rule.
 - Three-step item selection process used to identify a parsimonious multivariable logistic regression model that preserved predictive accuracy while minimizing number of items.
- Clinical validity metrics were calculated for the best-fitting combination of ASQ items based on youth self-report, as well as youth and caregiver reports using 'either/or' rule.
- Stratified analyses conducted for the effects of age (≤ 10 vs. > 10 years old) and diagnostic group (ASD/intellectual disability/language disability [any] vs. ADHD/learning disability/anxiety/depression/others [no ASD/intellectual disability/language disability]) on individual ASQ items & model-driven multiple combo items.

ASQ Suicide-Screening Questions

Ask the patient:

1. In the past few weeks, have you wished you were dead? Yes No
2. In the past few weeks, have you felt that you or your family would be better off if you were dead? Yes No
3. In the past week, have you been having thoughts about killing yourself? Yes No
4. Have you ever tried to kill yourself? Yes No
If yes, how? _____
When? _____

If the patient answers Yes to any of the above, ask the following acuity question:

5. Are you having thoughts of killing yourself right now? Yes No
If yes, please describe: _____

ASQ A/NDD Candidate items
6. In the past few weeks, have YOU been worried or scared a lot?
7. In the past few weeks, have YOU been sad a lot?
8. In the past few weeks, have YOU been mad or angry a lot?
9. In the past few weeks, have YOU been lonely a lot?
10. In the past few weeks, are YOU blaming yourself for bad things that happen?
11. Do YOU want to give up on life?
12. Have YOU thought your life was so bad that you didn't want to live anymore?

Study Limitations

- Heterogeneity of ages and diagnoses (unconfirmed) and sample size.
- Diagnoses via EMR and overlapping.
- Cognitive and communication function unmeasured.
- Sample not nationally representative.
- Performed by video/telehealth.
- Parent may have been nearby or present during the youth screenings and evaluations.

Conclusions

1. ASQ is a valid suicide risk screening tool for identification of suicide risk in youth with autism & other NDD & can be used remotely.
2. Sensitivity may improve when parent/guardian screening is added.
3. Adding questions regarding loneliness (#9) and life quality (#12) may improve sensitivity for youth with ASD/NDD.

For further investigation

- Validation on a larger sample of youth with autism, intellectual disability, language disorders compared to typical youth & those with other NDDs may offer better understanding of roles of language, social dev, and age in suicide ideation/ behavior.
- In-person validation of the ASQ in ASD/NDD populations is indicated.
- Further screening of both child and parent/guardian should be performed to assess the importance of reporter source.

Acknowledgements



Funding AFSP Grant # SRG-1-078-18 - PI: Lipkin

We thank the clinic programs and staff who assisted with subject recruitment as well as the youth and families who elected to participate.

We also thank the staff at the National Institute of Mental Health, including Dr. Maryland Pao and Christina Wray who assisted during staff transitions this past year.

Key References

1. Horowitz LM, Thurm A, Farmer C, et al; Autism and Developmental Disorders Inpatient Research Collaborative (ADDIRC). Talking about death or suicide: prevalence and clinical correlates in youth with autism spectrum disorder in the psychiatric inpatient setting. *J Autism Dev Disord*. 2018;48(11):3702-3710.
2. Aguinaldo LD, Sullivan S, Lanzillo EC, Ross A, He JP, Bradley-Ewing A, Bridge JA, Horowitz LM, Wharff EA. Validation of the ask suicide-screening questions (ASQ) with youth in outpatient specialty and primary care clinics. *Gen Hosp Psychiatry*. 2021;68:52-58.
3. Rybczynski S, Gornik A, Schindel BJ, Ngur M, Matte-Ramsdell T, Lopez-Arvizu C, Lipkin PH, Zabel TA. Universal Suicide Risk Screening in Pediatric Neurologic, Developmental, and Behavioral Clinics. *Acad Pediatr*. 2025 Apr;25(3):102623.

NIH ASQ Toolkit:

<https://www.nimh.nih.gov/research/research-conducted-at-nimh/asq-toolkit-materials>

Results

Child sample characteristics	
Characteristics	Total Sample, N=271
Age, range, mean (SD)	8-17, 12.27 (2.64)
Sex, n (%)	
Male	194 (71.6%)
Female	77 (28.4%)
Race or ethnicity, n (%)	
White	158 (58.3%)
Black	80 (29.5%)
Other	17 (6.3%)
Hispanic/Latino	14 (5.2%)
Unknown	2 (0.74%)
Clinical Diagnosis (non-exclusive), n (%)	
Autism spectrum disorder	103 (38.0%)
Intellectual disability	21 (7.8%)
Language/communication disorders	63 (23.3%)
Attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder	234 (86.4%)
Learning disability	24 (8.9%)
Anxiety disorder	119 (43.9%)
Depression	52 (19.2%)
Other neurodevelopmental disorder	56 (20.7%)
Classic ASQ screened positive*, n (%)	65 (24.0%)
Clinician-determined suicide risk, n (%)	64 (23.6%)

Intrinsic and Predictive Abilities of the ASQ and ASQ + candidate items									
Model	N	% (95% CI)		% (95% CI)		LR (95% CI)		AUC	p-value
		Sens	Spec	PPV	NPV	LR +	LR -		
Youth Report									
ASQ Youth Report	271	0.67 (0.56, 0.79)	0.89 (0.85, 0.94)	0.66 (0.55, 0.78)	0.90 (0.86, 0.94)	6.32 (3.60, 9.04)	0.37 (0.24, 0.50)	0.783 (0.721, 0.844)	NA
ASQ + Items 9,12	271	0.84 (0.75, 0.93)	0.75 (0.69, 0.81)	0.50 (0.41, 0.60)	0.94 (0.90, 0.98)	3.33 (2.48, 4.18)	0.21 (0.09, 0.33)	0.794 (0.740, 0.848)	0.6801
Youth or Caregiver Report									
ASQ Youth or Caregiver Report	219	0.85 (0.75, 0.95)	0.78 (0.72, 0.85)	0.56 (0.45, 0.66)	0.94 (0.90, 0.98)	3.92 (2.70, 5.13)	0.19 (0.07, 0.32)	0.816 (0.758, 0.874)	NA
ASQ 2,3,4 & Item 12	219	0.91 (0.83, 0.98)	0.71 (0.64, 0.78)	0.50 (0.40, 0.60)	0.96 (0.92, 0.99)	3.13 (2.34, 3.93)	0.13 (0.02, 0.24)	0.808 (0.756, 0.861)	0.6861

Age and Diagnosis Subgroup Analyses: AUCs				
Model	Youth report alone		Diagnosis Group	
	AGE ≤ 10 yrs (n=76)	AGE > 10 yrs (n=195)	ASD/ID/LangD* (n=157)	ADHD/Others (n=114)
	AUC (95% CI)	AUC (95% CI)	AUC (95% CI)	AUC (95% CI)
m4: 1, 4, 9, 12	0.76 (0.64, 0.89)	0.80 (0.74, 0.86)	0.81 (0.74, 0.88)	0.78 (0.70, 0.87)
m3: 1, 4, 9	0.74 (0.61, 0.88)	0.80 (0.74, 0.86)	0.81 (0.74, 0.88)	0.77 (0.68, 0.86)
m2: 1, 4	0.70 (0.56, 0.84)	0.80 (0.73, 0.87)	0.82 (0.74, 0.91)	0.74 (0.64, 0.83)
m1: 4	0.55 (0.45, 0.65)	0.75 (0.68, 0.82)	0.74 (0.65, 0.83)	0.68 (0.59, 0.76)
#ASQ classic 4 (1,2,3,4)	0.76 (0.62, 0.89)	0.79 (0.72, 0.86)	0.82 (0.73, 0.90)	0.75 (0.66, 0.85)
Youth Caregiver combined responses using 'either/or' rule, AUC				
	(n=62)	(n=157)	(n=126)	(n=93)
m4O: 2, 3, 10, 12	0.74 (0.63, 0.85)	0.72 (0.66, 0.79)	0.73 (0.65, 0.81)	0.72 (0.64, 0.81)
m3O: 2, 3, 12	0.84 (0.74, 0.94)	0.74 (0.67, 0.82)	0.77 (0.68, 0.85)	0.78 (0.68, 0.87)
m2O: 3, 12	0.84 (0.74, 0.94)	0.76 (0.69, 0.84)	0.78 (0.70, 0.87)	0.78 (0.69, 0.88)
m1O: 12	0.79 (0.65, 0.92)	0.74 (0.66, 0.82)	0.77 (0.69, 0.86)	0.74 (0.64, 0.84)
#ASQ classic 4 (1,2,3,4)	0.83 (0.70, 0.95)	0.81 (0.74, 0.88)	0.82 (0.74, 0.89)	0.82 (0.74, 0.91)

NOTE: The models with the highest performing test characteristics are displayed.
*Any endorsement of ASD, ID, or language disorder

Correspondence: Paul Lipkin
lipkin@kennedykrieger.org

